

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/689,354	10/20/2003	Hisao Okaniwa	F-8001	4211		
28107	7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER			
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP 122 EAST 42ND STREET			PETERSON, I	PETERSON, KENNETH E		
SUITE 4000	ND STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10168			3724			
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2009	DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		: Applicant(s)				
		10/689,354		OKANIWA, HISAO				
		Examiner Art Ur		Art Unit				
		Kenneth E. P		3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IGER, FROM THE MAILING DATA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. If it is above, the maximum statutory period wet or extended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, h rill apply and will exp cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	_•		~				
2a)☐ This action is F								
3)☐ Since this appli	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) 5) 6)			52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/689,354

Art Unit: 3724

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a hair brush, classified in class 15.
 - II. Claims 15-25, drawn to a replaceable cutter cartridge, classified in class30.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because the inventions of group I and group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination hair brush of group I could be used with a non-replaceable cutter. The subcombination blade cartridge has separate utility such as being used in a razor instead of a hair brush.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A – Figures 1-5

Application/Control Number: 10/689,354

Art Unit: 3724

Species B – Figures 6-9

Species C – Figures 10-11

Species D – Figures 12-14

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, some claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/689,354

Art Unit: 3724

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-

4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. For more information about the

PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

кp

September 29, 2005

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

M